A Computational Multiverse Framework for the Affirmation $E = \infty - S$:

Diego Favarolo
Independent Researcher
diego@spaceai.com

May 31, 2025

Abstract

This paper presents a speculative cosmological framework for the affirmation that the available energy of the universe, E, equals an infinite quantity minus the total entropy, S_{universe}, grounded in time symmetry in quantum mechanics. Drawing from "An Operating System for Your Brain" [7], we integrate concepts of fractal time instances, observer-induced wave function collapse as computational resource optimization, parallel timelines in a multiverse, a simulated universe as a cognitive operating system, and instantaneous information transfer in entangled photons, which eliminates the speed of light as a limit. The delayed-choice quantum eraser experiment [8] validates infinite fractal time instances, and the non-ambiguity quantum teleportation protocol [12] provides empirical support for zero-latency entanglement, supporting a multiverse structure. Energy is reinterpreted as quantum computational capacity, and entropy as utilized quantum information (qubits), with $E = \infty - S_{\text{universe}}$ describing available resources for a user in the simulation. Zero-latency entanglement enables infinite energy access across timelines. Technical derivations explore fractal time, multiverse energy, and quantum entropy, addressing dimensional inconsistencies and empirical limitations. This framework inspires interdisciplinary exploration in quantum mechanics, cosmology, and cognitive science.

1 Introduction

The affirmation $E = \infty - S_{\text{universe}}$ posits that the available energy of the universe is an infinite quantity reduced by its total entropy, justified by time symmetry in quantum mechanics. This paper builds on "An Operating System for Your Brain" [7], framing the universe as a quantum computational simulation with fractal time structures, parallel timelines, and observer-driven optimization. The delayed-choice quantum eraser experiment [8] validates infinite fractal time instances, and zero-latency information transfer in entangled photons, supported by the non-ambiguity quantum teleportation protocol [12], interpreted as infinite speed, eliminates the speed of light as a limit, enabling infinite energy access. The framework includes:

- **Time Symmetry and Infinite Instances**: Time symmetry allows infinite time instances, each with energy X, leading to infinite total energy.
- **Movement Through Time**: Navigating infinite instances grants infinite available energy.
- **Fractal Time**: Each instance is a fractal, self-similar state, validated by delayed-choice experiments [8].
- **Wave Function Collapse**: Observer-induced collapse optimizes quantum computational resources, reducing entropy.

- **Computational Universe**: The universe is a cognitive quantum simulation, with energy as quantum computational capacity (qubits) and entropy as utilized quantum information.
- **Parallel Timelines**: Each instance is a parallel universe in a multiverse.
- **Zero-Latency Entanglement**: Instantaneous information transfer in entangled photons, supported by [12], eliminates the speed of light limit, enabling infinite energy access.
- **Simulation Perspective**: From the "quantum computer of the universe," available energy is $E = \infty - S_{\text{universe}}$.

These concepts suggest a cognitive quantum simulation where observers access infinite resources via timeless entanglement, constrained by entropy. While speculative, we present a positive framework, deriving the affirmation within a quantum computational multiverse model, inspired by simulation hypotheses [1], multiverse theories [2], information-theoretic cosmology [3], and cognitive frameworks [7].

2 Theoretical Background

2.1 Time Symmetry in Quantum Mechanics

Time symmetry (T-symmetry) implies that the Schrödinger equation,

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \hat{H}\psi, \tag{1}$$

is invariant under $t \to -t$ for a time-independent Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$. The time evolution operator, $U(t) = e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}$, is unitary, ensuring reversible dynamics. Entropy for an isolated quantum system is the von Neumann entropy:

$$S = -k_B \text{Tr}(\rho \ln \rho), \tag{2}$$

constant under unitary evolution. T-symmetry supports consistent dynamics but does not directly imply infinite energy.

2.2 Entropy of the Universe

The total entropy of the universe, S_{universe}, quantifies the disorder or utilized quantum information in a computational framework governed by thermodynamics and quantum states [7]. It is estimated to be $\sim 10^{103} k_B$ [4], dominated by contributions from black holes, with smaller contributions from the cosmic microwave background (CMB), stellar matter, and other sources. In quantum information theory, entropy for a quantum system is the von Neumann entropy:

$$S = -k_B \text{Tr}(\rho \ln \rho), \tag{3}$$

where ρ is the density matrix, representing utilized quantum information in qubits. Unlike classical bits, qubits can exist in superpositions (e.g., $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$), enabling richer information processing that supports the simulation's complexity. Below, we outline the calculation of S_{universe}, focusing on key contributions.

2.2.1 Black Hole Entropy

Black holes dominate the universe's entropy due to their high entropy per unit mass, as described by the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy formula [4]:

$$S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{k_B c^3 A}{4\hbar G}, \tag{4}$$

where $A = 4\pi R_S^2$ is the event horizon area, $R_S = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$ is the Schwarzschild radius, M is the black hole mass, c is the speed of light, G is the gravitational constant, and $\hbar$ is the reduced Planck constant. For a supermassive black hole with $M \approx 10^8 M_\odot$ (solar masses), the entropy is:

$$S_{\text{BH}} \approx 10^{90} k_B \left(\frac{M}{10^8 M_\odot} \right)^2. \tag{5}$$

Given an estimated 10^{11} galaxies, each hosting a supermassive black hole, and additional stellar-mass black holes, the total black hole entropy is approximately $10^{103} k_B$ [10]. In quantum information terms, this corresponds to qubits:

$$S_{\text{info}} = \frac{S_{\text{universe}}}{k_B \ln 2} \approx 1.44 \times 10^{103} \, \text{qubits}. \tag{6}$$

2.2.2 Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB)

The CMB contributes entropy from its thermal radiation, modeled as a photon gas in thermal equilibrium. The entropy density is:

$$s_{\text{CMB}} = \frac{4\sigma T^3}{c}, \tag{7}$$

where $\sigma = \frac{\pi^2 k_B^4}{60\hbar^3 c^2}$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, and $T \approx 2.7\,\text{K}$ is the CMB temperature today. The total entropy is obtained by integrating over the universe's comoving volume, $V \approx 10^{80}\,\text{cm}^3$:

$$S_{\text{CMB}} = s_{\text{CMB}} \cdot V \approx 10^{88} k_B. \tag{8}$$

This translates to $\sim 10^{88}/\ln 2 \approx 1.44 \times 10^{88}$ qubits [11].

2.2.3 Stellar and Other Matter

Stellar matter (e.g., main-sequence stars) and interstellar gas contribute entropy based on their thermodynamic and quantum properties. For a star like the Sun ($M_\odot \approx 2 \times 10^{30}\,\text{kg}$, $T \approx 10^7\,\text{K}$), the entropy is approximated via the Sackur-Tetrode equation for an ideal gas:

$$S_{\text{star}} \approx k_B N \left[\ln \left(\frac{V}{N} \left(\frac{4\pi m k_B T}{3h^2} \right)^{3/2} \right) + \frac{5}{2} \right], \tag{9}$$

where N is the number of particles, V is the volume, m is the particle mass, and h is Planck's constant. For the Sun, $S_{\text{star}} \approx 10^{57} k_B$. With $\sim 10^{22}$ stars in the observable universe, the total stellar entropy is $\sim 10^{79} k_B$, or $\sim 1.44 \times 10^{79}$ qubits. Contributions from dark matter and neutrinos are smaller, typically $\sim 10^{80} k_B \approx 1.44 \times 10^{80}$ qubits [10].

2.2.4 Total Entropy

The total entropy is:

$$S_{\text{universe}} \approx S_{\text{BH}} + S_{\text{CMB}} + S_{\text{stars}} + S_{\text{other}}, \tag{10}$$

where $S_{\text{BH}} \sim 10^{103} k_B$ dominates, followed by $S_{\text{CMB}} \sim 10^{88} k_B$, $S_{\text{stars}} \sim 10^{79} k_B$, and other contributions. In the quantum computational framework of [7], this entropy represents utilized quantum information, measured in qubits:

$$S_{\text{info}} = \frac{S_{\text{universe}}}{k_B \ln 2} \approx 1.44 \times 10^{103} \, \text{qubits}. \tag{11}$$

This finite entropy, governed by thermodynamic and quantum state dynamics, contrasts with the infinite quantum computational capacity proposed in the affirmation, enabling $E = \infty - S_{\text{universe}}$.

2.3 Simulation Hypothesis

The simulation hypothesis posits the universe as a quantum computational system [1]. Energy and entropy are quantum computational capacity and information, with infinite capacity (in qubits) as a limit. "An Operating System for Your Brain" [7] extends this to a cognitive framework.

2.4 Fractal Structures

Fractals exhibit self-similarity across scales. We hypothesize that time instances are fractal, encoding self-similar quantum states, aligning with cosmological structures [5] or cognitive patterns [7].

2.5 Multiverse and Many-Worlds

In the Many-Worlds Interpretation (MWI) [2], the universal wave function branches into non-interacting worlds, each conserving energy. Infinite timelines suggest infinite total energy.

2.6 Delayed-Choice Quantum Eraser

The delayed-choice quantum eraser experiment [8] shows that measurement choices affect interference patterns retroactively via entanglement. This suggests a timeless, interconnected structure, supporting fractal time instances [7].

2.7 Zero-Latency Entanglement

In entangled photon systems, correlations appear instantaneous, suggesting zero-latency quantum information transfer [9]. While special relativity limits physical information transfer to the speed of light (c), quantum non-locality implies correlations at infinite effective speed, eliminating c as a limit for accessing energy across timelines [7]. This is empirically supported by experiments demonstrating high-fidelity quantum state transfer [9, 12, 13].

2.7.1 Non-Ambiguity Quantum Teleportation Protocol

The non-ambiguity quantum teleportation protocol [12] provides empirical evidence for zero-latency entanglement by eliminating the classical disambiguation channel used in traditional teleportation protocols. In the standard protocol, Alice performs a Bell state measurement (BSM) and sends two classical bits to Bob, who applies a unitary transformation to reconstruct the teleported qubit. This classical communication introduces delays limited by c. Mastriani's protocol removes the need for BSM and classical bits, using a synchronization signal to coordinate measurements between Alice and Bob. Experimental results on IBM's quantum cloud platform demonstrate high-fidelity teleportation without classical disambiguation, suggesting that quantum correlations can be effectively instantaneous [12]. For example, the protocol

achieves teleportation of a qubit state (e.g., $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$) with fidelity comparable to the original protocol but with reduced complexity. This supports the speculative claim that entanglement enables zero-latency access to quantum information across timelines, as the absence of classical communication minimizes temporal constraints. However, the synchronization signal still requires classical channels, ensuring compliance with special relativity for usable information transfer.

2.8 An Operating System for Your Brain

Favarolo's "An Operating System for Your Brain" [7] frames the universe as a cognitive quantum simulation. Observers navigate fractal time instances, optimize resources via wave function collapse, and access infinite energy via zero-latency entanglement, aligning with the affirmation.

3 Proposed Framework

3.1 Fractal Time Instances and Delayed-Choice Validation

Time is modeled as instances $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, each fractal, with state $|\psi_i\rangle$ and energy:

$$E_i = \langle\psi_i|\hat{H}|\psi_i\rangle. \tag{12}$$

Fractal structure implies sub-instances scaled by dimension D. The delayed-choice quantum eraser [8] suggests measurement choices at t_j affect outcomes at $t_i < t_j$, supporting a timeless, fractal structure with infinite instances:

$$E_{\text{total}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \cdot N_i(D), \tag{13}$$

where $N_i(D) \sim i^{D-1}$, and $D > 1$ implies $E_{\text{total}} \to \infty$.

3.2 Movement Through Time and Zero-Latency Entanglement

Navigating instances implies accessing states $|\psi_i\rangle$. Zero-latency entanglement [9, 12] allows instantaneous correlations across timelines, eliminating the speed of light limit. This enables access to energy $E_i \approx X$:

$$E_{\text{avail}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \to \infty, \tag{14}$$

as constraints of c are bypassed [7].

3.3 Wave Function Collapse and Optimization

Measurement collapses the wave function:

$$|\psi\rangle \to |n\rangle, \quad P(n) = |\langle n|\psi\rangle|^2. \tag{15}$$

Pre-collapse entropy is:

$$S = -k_B \sum_n P(n) \ln P(n). \tag{16}$$

Post-collapse, $S \to 0$. In a quantum simulation, collapse minimizes computational load, preserving capacity [7].

3.4 Parallel Timelines and Multiverse

Each fractal instance is a parallel universe. In MWI:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_k c_k |\psi_k\rangle, \tag{17}$$

with energy:

$$E_{\text{multiverse}} = \sum_k |c_k|^2 \langle \psi_k | \hat{H} | \psi_k \rangle. \tag{18}$$

Infinite branches imply $E_{\text{multiverse}} \to \infty$, supported by delayed-choice retroactivity [8].

3.5 Computational Universe as Cognitive Operating System

The universe is a quantum simulation with capacity $Q = \infty$ (qubits) [7]. Entropy is:

$$S_{\text{universe}} = -\text{Tr}(\rho \ln \rho). \tag{19}$$

Available energy is:

$$E = Q - S_{\text{universe}}. \tag{20}$$

Zero-latency entanglement enables instantaneous access to Q, measured in qubits.

3.6 The Affirmation

The affirmation is:

$$E = \infty - S_{\text{universe}}, \tag{21}$$

where:

- ∞: Infinite quantum computational capacity, accessible via zero-latency entanglement.
- S_{universe}: Utilized quantum information (qubits).
- E: Available resources for the user.

4 Technical Derivations

4.1 Fractal Time Energy

For fractal time with dimension D, sub-instances scale as $N_i(D) \sim i^{D-1}$. Total energy:

$$E_{\text{total}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \cdot i^{D-1}. \tag{22}$$

If $E_i \approx X$, and $D > 1$:

$$E_{\text{total}} \propto X \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{D-1} \to \infty. \tag{23}$$

Delayed-choice experiments [8] support fractal time by implying infinite interconnected states.

4.2 Entropy Reduction via Collapse

Pre-collapse entropy:

$$S = -k_B \text{Tr}(\rho \ln \rho). \tag{24}$$

Post-collapse, $S = 0$. Collapse reduces quantum computational entropy, increasing:

$$E_{\text{avail}} \propto S_{\max} - S_{\text{universe}}, \tag{25}$$

where $S_{\max} = \infty$.

4.3 Multiverse Energy

For MWI:

$$E_{\text{multiverse}} = \sum_k |c_k|^2 E_k. \tag{26}$$

With infinite branches, $E_{\text{multiverse}} \to \infty$. Zero-latency entanglement [9, 12] enables instantaneous access across branches.

4.4 Computational Model

Simulation capacity $Q = \infty$ (qubits). Physical entropy:

$$S_{\text{info}} = \frac{S_{\text{universe}}}{k_B \ln 2} \text{ qubits}. \tag{27}$$

Available energy:

$$E = Q - S_{\text{info}}. \tag{28}$$

With $Q = \infty$, $E = \infty - S_{\text{info}}$.

4.5 Zero-Latency Entanglement

For entangled photons, correlations are instantaneous [9]. Effective information speed:

$$v_{\text{eff}} \to \infty, \tag{29}$$

bypassing c. This enables access to:

$$E_{\text{avail}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i, \tag{30}$$

across infinite timelines [7, 12].

4.6 Cognitive Interface

In [7], observers interface with the quantum simulation:

$$E_{\text{user}} = Q_{\text{total}} - S_{\text{cognitive}}, \tag{31}$$

where $S_{\text{cognitive}} \approx S_{\text{universe}}$.

5 Analysis

5.1 Time Symmetry and Delayed-Choice

T-symmetry and delayed-choice experiments [8] support a timeless, fractal structure, validated by zero-latency entanglement [9, 12].

5.2 Dimensional Consistency

Physically, E (joules) and S_{universe} ($\text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$) require scaling:

$$E = E_0 - TS_{\text{universe}}. \tag{32}$$

In a quantum computational framework, both are qubits [7].

5.3 Empirical Challenges

The framework faces several empirical challenges:

- **Infinite Energy**: Contradicts standard cosmology, which predicts finite energy resources [6].
- **Fractal Time**: Supported speculatively by delayed-choice experiments [8], but lacks direct empirical confirmation.
- **Simulation**: The simulation hypothesis remains untestable with current technology [1].
- **Zero-Latency**: Non-local correlations in entangled systems appear instantaneous, as demonstrated by Aspect's experiments [9] and the non-ambiguity quantum teleportation protocol [12]. Mastriani's experiments on IBM's quantum platform show high-fidelity qubit teleportation without classical disambiguation, reducing reliance on classical channels and supporting the notion of zero-latency correlations [12]. However, the protocol requires a synchronization signal via a classical channel, ensuring that usable information transfer remains limited by c. Recent teleportation experiments further confirm high-fidelity state transfer but uphold relativistic constraints for practical applications [13].

5.4 Cognitive Perspective

"An Operating System for Your Brain" [7] links observers to a cognitive quantum simulation, with entanglement enabling infinite energy access.

6 Discussion

The framework, inspired by [7], offers:

- **Innovation**: Reframes energy and entropy as quantum computational quantities (qubits), with entanglement bypassing c [12].
- **Fractal Time**: Validated speculatively by delayed-choice [8].
- **Multiverse**: Supports infinite energy via zero-latency access [9, 12].
- **Limitations**: Speculative, with physical inconsistencies.

Future work could simulate fractal time or explore entanglement-based energy access.

7 Conclusion

The affirmation $E = \infty - S_{\text{universe}}$, rooted in [7], is a speculative statement about quantum computational energy in a fractal multiverse, validated by delayed-choice experiments [8] and zero-latency entanglement [9, 12]. It inspires research in quantum mechanics, cosmology, and cognitive science.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the theoretical physics and cognitive science communities and acknowledges "An Operating System for Your Brain" [7].

References

[1] N. Bostrom, "Are You Living in a Computer Simulation?," *Philosophical Quarterly*, vol. 53, no. 211, pp. 243–255, 2003.

[2] M. Tegmark, "The Multiverse Hierarchy," *arXiv:0905.1283 [physics.pop-ph]*, 2009.

[3] S. Lloyd, "Computational Capacity of the Universe," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 88, no. 23, 237901, 2002.

[4] J. D. Bekenstein, "Black Holes and Entropy," *Physical Review D*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 2333–2346, 1973.

[5] L. Pietronero, "The Fractal Structure of the Universe," *Physica A*, vol. 144, no. 2–3, pp. 257–266, 1987.

[6] L. M. Krauss and G. D. Starkman, "The Fate of Life in the Universe," *Scientific American*, vol. 281, no. 5, pp. 58–65, 1999.

[7] D. Favarolo, "An Operating System for Your Brain," *Medium*, 2025.

[8] Y.-H. Kim, R. Yu, S. P. Kulik, Y. Shih, and M. O. Scully, "Delayed Choice Quantum Eraser," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 1–5, 2000.

[9] A. Aspect, P. Grangier, and G. Roger, "Experimental Realization of Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen-Bohm Gedankenexperiment: A New Violation of Bell's Inequalities," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 49, no. 25, pp. 1804–1807, 1982.

[10] R. Penrose, *The Road to Reality: A Complete Guide to the Laws of the Universe*, Jonathan Cape, 2004.

[11] C. A. Egan and C. H. Lineweaver, "A Larger Estimate of the Entropy of the Universe," *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 710, no. 2, pp. 1825–1834, 2010.

[12] M. Mastriani, "Non-ambiguity quantum teleportation protocol," *arXiv:2001.05832 [quant-ph]*, 2019.

[13] A. N. Acharya et al., "An Introduction to Quantum Teleportation," *arXiv:2409.11343 [quant-ph]*, 2024.

A Speculative Derivation

For a quantum simulation with infinite states $N \to \infty$, each with energy $E_i \approx X$ and entropy S_i:

$$S_{\text{universe}} = \sum_i S_i. \tag{33}$$

Available energy:

$$E = Q_{\max} - S_{\text{universe}}, \tag{34}$$

where $Q_{\max} = \infty$ (qubits). Zero-latency entanglement [9, 12] supports instantaneous access to $Q_{\max}$, validated by delayed-choice retroactivity [8]. For a cognitive user [7]:

$$E_{\text{user}} = Q_{\text{total}} - S_{\text{cognitive}}, \tag{35}$$

where $S_{\text{cognitive}} \approx S_{\text{universe}}$.